

&+ N %" N + &1 " ! N01† 1" ONI &01 / & 1N , 2 / 1N
#, / N %" N , / 1%" / +N &01 / & 1N #N\$ " , / \$ & N
† 1)† +1† NI &3 &0& +N

3, +N & \$ NI † +&')) " N, %+0, + N
ž %") O† N%† 4 N, 5N) , 4 " / ON
020† +N ,) 5+, N† ž . 2") &+ " N
- " / (&+ ON / 1%2 / N &) & * ON
† D9N† + " " , %+N , " N fin

- BADI A> HNE DV : @6BN
E; IN@ C H BK: H6D9N6BEI @ GH
HC A6GBNAU 6: 9N

ž &3 & NI ž 1& +N
~ N ~ ž 3 " ° , ' ,

KN

O1† 1" N #N\$ " , / \$ & N
\$ " , / \$ & N " -† / 1* " +1N #N
)† Ž , / N D9N
ž , * * &00& + " / N† / (N 21) " / N
ADVAHE ? 8 6 B 8 6 F 6 8 A M N

! : = D96DI HN

Prompt Determination Class, Prompt Payment Class, and Prompt Appeals Class (collectively the "Plaintif s"), on the one hand, and Def ndants State of Georgia, the Georgia Department of Labor ("GDOL"), and Mark

certif

en Mar

determinat

Proh

s conducted.

Afte

The Parties agr

bed

below.

2. Class Court



40 1201 W. Peac

3.1_i

n_s

ed Preliminary Approval Ord


day


ev

ain

to ph

ge





have

f


i_t

the relevant pub

.

including, at a

mini



The GDOL h

ved

a

s; and

c. Str

ed

post on

gardi

Δ

f hη

abi

p

plicant

a reasonable

es both electro

vendors) to sc

art o

ants have en

-

en^a

s practicab

tha

o the on-line sy


u

hed

nd

9


determined to be invalid, Defendants



t st p0

5.2. By De

by re₁



Signed, this 14th

at

, GA §