

AlaFile E-Notice

03-CV-2022-900892.00 Judge: JIMMY B POOL

To: DEGNAN ELLEN LEE ellen.degnan@splcenter.org

NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF MONTGOMERY COUNTY, ALABAMA

TIARA YOUNG HUDSON V. KAY IVEY ET AL 03-CV-2022-900892.00

The following matter was FILED on 8/12/2022 10:10:35 PM

Notice Date: 8/12/2022 10:10:35 PM

GINA J. ISHMAN CIRCUIT COURT CLERK MONTGOMERY COUNTY, ALABAMA 251 S. LAWRENCE STREET MONTGOMERY, AL, 36104

334-832-1260

=BH<97=F7I=H7CIFHC: ACBH; CA9FM7CIBH№ 5@565A5

HUDSON TIARA YOUNG, Plaintiff,)	
V.)) Case No.:	CV-2022-900892.00
KAY IVEY, PATRICK TUTEN, TOM PARKER, Defendants.)))	

CF89F

Before the Court is Defendants' Motion to Dismiss. Doc. 34. Upon consideration of the briefing and the arguments of counsel at the hearing held on August 8, 2022, and the briefing submitted by the parties thereafter, the Court finds that Plaintiff's Complaint is due to be dismissed.

First, the Complaint is due to be dismissed because a *quo warranto* action is the "exclusive remedy to determine whether a party is usurping a public office." *Riley v. Hughes*, 17 So. 3d 643, 646 (Ala. 2009); *see* Ala. Code § 6-6-591, *et seq*. In this action**6**

DOCUMENT 80

elements of traceability and redressability because Defendants cannot afford her the relief she seeks. *See Stamps v. Jefferson Cnty. Bd. of Educ.*, 642 So. 2d 941, 944 (Ala. 1994).

Third, in the alternative, the Complaint is due to be dismissed because the Court finds that the Complaint fails to state a claim on which relief could be granted. The Legislature lawfully established the Judicial Resources Allocation Commission and the Commission lawfully reallocated a circuit judgeship from Jefferson County to Madison County. The Act creating the Commission carries significant standards that limit the Commission's discretion and foreclose Plaintiff's argument that the Legislature unlawfully delegated its authority. *Monroe v. Harco, Inc.,* 762 So. 2d 828, 831 (Ala. 2000); *Bailey v. Shelby Cnty.,* 507 So. 2d 438, 442 (Ala. 1987). To the extent matters outside the pleadings have been presented to the Court, those matters are excluded. The Court has considered only matters in the pleadings in deciding that the Complaint is due to be dismissed.

Upon consideration of the briefing and the arguments of counsel at the hearing and the briefing submitted thereafter, it is hereby ORDERED that:

1. The Motion to Dismiss (Doc. 34) is GRANTED. The Complaint is DISMISSED; and

All other pending motions are DENIED as moot.
This is a final judgment.

8CB9 h\]g %&^{h\} XUm cZ 5 i [i ghž &\$&&"

<u>#g# >=AAM 6 DCC@</u> 7=F7I=H > I8;9