



federal government or even if they are U.S. citizens. Section 5 contains no requirements that the state so much assotify

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 7. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because this case arises under the U.S. Constitution and laws of the United Statesursumant to 28 U.S.C. § 1343 because this action seeks to redress the deprivation, under color of state law, of 3 O D L Q W L I I V ¶ F L Y L O U L J K W V D Q G W R V H F X U H H T X L W D E O H I
- 8. This Court has jurisdiction to gradeclaratory relief pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202 and Rule 57 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 9. Venue is proper in this District and Division pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b). Defendants Collier and Hobson, who are sued in their official cities, reside in this State and are employed as State officials in Montgomery, Alabama, within this District and Division. A VXEVWDQWLDO SDUW RI WKH HYHQWV DQG RPLVVLRQV JLYI will occur in this Districtand Division.

PARTIES

Plaintiffs

- 10. Plaintiff Jane Doe #1 resides in Montgomery, Alabama with her daughter, Plaintiff Jane Doe #2, and her signalaw, Plaintiff John Doe #1. Plaintiff Jane Doe #1 is originally from Mexico and came to the United States apipmately ten years ago. She was arrested for an alleged violation of a state law prohibiting fishing without a license. She is scheduled to appear in an Alabama state countidin February 2013.
- 11. Plaintiff Jane Doe #2resides in Montgomery, Alabama withrheother, Plaintiff Jane Doe #1, and her husband, Plaintiff John Doe #1. Plaintiff Jane Doe #1 was born in Mexico and came to the United States with her mother approximately ten years ago, when she was

public website in a convenient and prominent location, the information provided in the quarterly UHSRUW IURP WKH \$GPLQLVWUDWLYH 2IILFH RI WKH &RXU 3VHDUFKDEOH E\FRMIQUYJHDQG\$ODHB-3624(80)GHHQist sued in his official capacity.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

\$ODEDPD¶V 2ULJLQDO ,PPLJUDWLRQ /DZ

16.

- b. SURKLELW ZLWK D IHZ H[FHSWLRQV 3>D@Q DOLI 8QLWHG 6WDWHV′IURP 3UHFHLY>LQJi@§BMQ\ VWDW 13-7(b);
- c. GLVTXDOLI\ 3DQ DQJIHHVQHQXWQQLDQZIWXQSHD\858edinVg/HG 6WI released orbail, id. § 31-13-18(b), or from being released from custody upon completing his or her criminal senteniale,§ 31-13-19;
- d. UHTXLUH ODZ HQIRUFHPHQW RIILFHUV ZKHQHYHU that DQ LQGLYLGXDO 3LV DQ DOLHQ ZKR LV XQODZIZ to verify the immigration status of that individuial, § 31-13-12(a); and
- e. PDNH LW D IHORQ\ IRU ³ > D@Q DOLHQ QRW ODZIX apply for or renewa motoU YHKLFOH OLFHQVH SODWH GUL\ identification card, business license, commercial license, or professional license,id. § 31-13-29.
- 19. Several parts of HB 56, as amended by HB 658, have been preliminarily enjoined,

- 23. Upon information and belief, Section 5 of HB 658 was enacted with the purpose of publicly identifying and sh&LQJLQGLYLGXDOVGHVLJQDWHGDV3XQOE
- 24. 8 S R Q L Q I R U P D W L R Q D Q G E H O L H I S U L R U W R + %

 Governor Bentley expressed his viewattoleastSenator Beason and Representative Hammon

 and possibly other legislators, W K D W 6 H F W L R Q V K R X O G Q R W L Q F O X G H

 legislators insisted on retaining the posting of names.
- 25. After HB 658 was passed by the Legislature, but before it was signed into law, Governor Bentley publicly implored the gislators to remove that part of Section 5 that required WKH SRVWLQJ RI LQGLYLGXDOV¶ QDPHV ,Q UHVSRQVH 6 WKUHDWHQHG WR DPHQG 6HFWLRQ QRW RQO\ WR UHTXLU as well. Shortly thereafter, on May 18, 2012, Governor Bentley signed HB 658 into law as Act No. 2012491.
 - 26. +% WRRN HIIHFW LPPHGLDWHO\ XSRQ WKH *RYHU

 Text of HB 658§ 5, codified atAla. Code§ 31-13-32
 - 27. Section 5 imposes obligations upon the ADMS.
- 28. The AOC is required to develop and submit to the ADHS a quarterly report that

 3 V X P P D U L] H > H V @ W K H Q X P E H U R I F D V H V L Q Z K L F K D Q X Q O H Q I R U F H P H Q W D Q G D S S H D U H G L Q F ARI & O'COMES 13 R 1 U B 3 D (20). The R O D W L U H S R U W L V U H T X L U H G W R L Q F O X G H D W O H D V W W K H I R O O I S U H V H Q W D Q i dulation or change ealleged to have been committed by the unlawfully S U H V H Q W D O L H Q or 3 > W S @ M M L C D D D D H R Y H W L K M KM H X C D D W H C D Q G 3 > the case, including whether the unlawfully present alien was released from custody, remained in

GHWHQWLRQ RU ZDV WUDQVIHUUHG WR WKH FXVWRG\ RI VIII. § 31-13-32(a)(1)(4).

- 29. 7 K H \$'+6 L V U H To Wollish blanGits 3 pub MidRw@b site, in a convenient and prominent location, the information provided in the quarterly report from the Administrative 2 I I L F H R I & dR & B/I W/3 x/3 2(b). The ADHS is required to ensure that the information G L V S O D \ H G R Q L W V S X E O L F Z H E V L W H L V 3 Nd. H D U F K D E O H E \ F
- 30. The final subsection of 6 HFWLRQ For Vt Me pully best of this section, the determination of whether a person is an unlawfully prestition shall be verified by the federal JRYHUQPHQW SXUVXDQWId.W 181-13-182(6). & † F '
- 31. 7KH WHUP ³XQODZIXOO\ SUHVHQW DOLHQ´LV QRW (does the term have any relevant definition in federal law.
- 32. There is **no** system under 8 U.S.C. § 1373(c) that would enable a State to post immigration status information on the internet. To the contrary, the federal government expressly limits how that informatios hared with state authorities pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1373(c) may be disseminated, and does not authorize state or local jurisdictions receiving information from the federal government pursuant hat section to post that information online. Moreover,

34.	Information	about an	individual	provided	by	any	system	set	up	pursuant	to	8

10

into custody, and charged with violating Ala. Code §1955, which prohibits nonresidents m fishing without a license.

- 47. Jane Doe #2, John Doe #1, and John Doe #2 were all detained in the county jail for several hours.
- 48. Jane Doe #1 was detained in the county jail for approximately two²daysing which time she was denied baibecause of cials from the federal Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) wanted to investigate whether she had legal permission to remain in the country.
- 49. Although the ICE officials determined that Jane Doe #1 did not appear to have legal permission to remain the country, they chose not to take her into custody or to initiate removal proceeding gagainst her as a matter of prosecutorial discretion.
- 50. In mid-February 2013, all of the Named Plaintities scheduled tappear in a district court for the State of Albama located within the Middle District of Alabama on the fishing without a license charges.
 - 51. All of the Named Plaintiffs were born in Mexico.
- 52. At this time, none of the Named Plaintiffs have documents proving that they currently have permission to residetime United States.
- 53. Pursuant toSection 5c) and AOC policy, a State or local official will or has DWWHPSW HG WR YHULI\ WKH 1DPHG 3ODLQWLIIV¶ LPPLJUE
- 54. The state or local official who will or has attempt(ed) to ventifye Named

 3 O D L Q W L I I V ¶ L P P L J U D W L R Q V W has shown deem to the Named Plainteffs& †

 to fall within the StateF U H D W H G L P P L J U D W L R Q F O D V V L I L F D W L R Q R I 3 >

- 55. Pursuant to Section (5a) and AOC policy, the Named Plaiffst will be included in

 D UHSRUW RI 3XQODZIXOO\ SUHVHQW DOLHQ>V@ 'WKH \$2 & 2
- 56. Pursuant toSection 5b), the ADHS will post the names and other identifying information of the Named Plaintiffs on its public website.
- 57. If the Named Plaintiffs are FODVVLILHG DQG UHJLVWHUHG WK SUHVHQW DOLishte QoQV @VKHDQS Q+ 6R TUV SXEOL Fithe Fithe EeVaL head of the feet of VXFK risk, now and into the future, of:
 - a. losing employment opportunities, as employers writefer not toemploy

 LQGLYLGXDOV SXEOLFO\ LGHQWLILHG E\ WKH 6WI

- f. being subjected to private harassment, discrimination, or even vigilantism by individuals who view the public list;
- g. being subjecto a host of other civil disabilities and criminal penalties should the preliminary injunction be lifted on any other provision of HB 56;
- h. EHLQJ SHUPDQHQWO\ EUDQGHG ³XQODZIXOO\ SUH to obtain some immigration status thpærmits them to remain in the United States in the future.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

- 58. The Named Plaintiffs are filing this Complaint as a class action pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 23(a) and 23(b)(2).
- 59. The Named Plaintiffs request that this Countitity a class of all similarly situated individuals. The proposed class definition Asil persons who are or will be subject Seection 5 of HB 658, Ala. Code § 313-32.
 - 60. This action is properly maintained as a class action because:
 - (a) Joinder of all methoers of the class is impracticable because of the size of the proposed class. Alabama is home to approximate 5,000 immigrants approximately 120,000 of whom currently lack lawful immigration statust. is very likely that many more than 40 such indivals in the State of Alabama have been or will be detained by law enforcement, will appear in court for a YLRODWLRQ RI VWDWH ODZ DQG ZLOO UXQ WKI XQODZIXOO\ SUHVHQW DOLHQ XQGHU 6HFWLRQ
 - (b) The claims alleged on behalf the proposed class raise questions of law and fact that are common to the class. This is a facial challenge to the validity of

- Section 5. All class members will challege the same statutory section the same legal ground federal preemption (Supremya Clause) and Due Process.

 All class members are subject to the same injuries: the mandatory classification, collection, and publication of their names and other identifying data pursuant to Section 5.
- (c) The claims of the Named Plaintiffs are typical of threeposed class. All members of the proposed class, including the Named Plaintiffs, will be listed RQ WKH \$'+6 ZHEVLWH DV 3XQODZIXOO\ SUHVHQW prevail on their facial challenges to Section 5, that statutory provision bevil LQYDOLG IRU DOO FODVV PHPEHUV DQG QR FODV data collection and publication requirements.
- (d) The proposed class representatives and class counsel will fairly and adequately represent the interests of the class. The dNahaintiffs have no interests that are antagonistic to the interests of other members of the proposed class, and class counsel have substantial experience in civil rights and class action litigation.

- 62. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs through 61 above.
 - 63. The Supremacy Clause, Article VI, Section 2, of the U.S. Constitution provides:

This Constitution, and the Laws of the United States which shall be made in Pursuance thereof; and all Treaties made, or which shall be made, under the Authority of the United States, shall be the supreme Law of the Land; and the Judges in every State shall be bound thereby, any Thing in the Constitution of Laws of any State to the Contrary notwithstanding.

- 64. The Supremacy Clause mandates that federal law preempts state law reamy a over which Congress expressly or impliedly has reserved exclusive authority or which is constitutionally reserved to the federal government, or where state law conflicts or interferes with federal law. States are also forbidden from regulating immining raunder the Supremacy Clause and other provisions in the Constitution.
- 65. Section 5 creates a scheme to register and publicize the presence of certain non citizens in the State of Alabamaw KRVH ZKRP WKH 6WDWH FODVVLILHV DOLHQn>36@loińg, it impermissibly intrudes into the field of alien registration field reserved exclusively to the federal government.
- 66. Section 5 impermissibly regulates immigration by creating an immigration classification? ³ X Q O D Z I X O O \ S that Vote and/vexistOrL fedQrál law, and by requiring State and/or local officials to assign individuals to this State ated classification by making immigration status determinations that they are not trained, equipped, or permitted to make.
- 67. Section 5 impermissibly conflicts with and interferes with federal immigration law by imposing state lawburdens and consequences based upon a state law classification of aliens, where the federal Immigration and Nationality Act is a comprehensive federal system

through whi

- 73. Section 5 does not provide any opportunity for individuals to contest their public GHVLJQDWID及QXQDO\\3XQDVHQW DOLHQ>V@ ´HLWKHU EHIRUH F
- 74. 6HFWLRQ GHSULYHV LQGLYLGXDOV ZKR ZRXOG EH SUHVHQW DOLHQ>V@´RI D OLEHUW\ DQG RU SURSHUW\ LQV
- 75. Defendantscannot, consistent with state and federal law, provide due process to WKRVH LW ZLVKHV WR SXEOLFO\ GHVLJQDWH DV 3XQODZIXO
- 76. 'HIHQGDQWV¶ HQIRUFHPHQW RI 6HFWLRQ SXUVXD actors under color of law arist therefore actionable under the Fourteenth Amendment through 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully pray that the Court grant the following relief:

- (1 & HUWLI\WKH FODVV DV GHILQH@ftbiQCoMnKylahint;®&ODVV \$O(
- (2 (QWHU D GHFODUDWRU\ MXGJPHQW ILQGLQJ WKDW 'violates the Supremacy Clause of Article VI of the U.S. Constitution and the Due Process Clause of Amendment XIV of the U.S. Constitution;

(3

Samuel Brooke (ASB 172L60B) SOUTHERN POVERTY LAW CENTER 4100 Washington Ave. Montgomery, AL 36104 (334) 9568200 samuel.brooke@splcenter.org

Kristi L. Graunkė SOUTHERN POVERTY LAW CENTER 233 Peachtree St. NE, Suite 2150 Atlanta, GA 30303 (404) 5216700 kristi.graunke@splcenter.org

Cecillia D. Wang AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION 39 DrummStreet San Francisco, CA 94111 (415) 3430775 cwang@aclu.org

Omar C. Jadwåt
AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES
UNION FOUNDATION
125 Broad Street, 18th Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 5492660
ojadwat@aclu.org

Justin B. Cox AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION 230 Peachtree Street, NW, Suite 14 Atlanta, GA 303032721 (404) 5232721 icox@aclu.org

Linton Joaquiň
Karen C. Tumliň
Nora Preciado
NATIONAL IMMIGRATION LAW
CENTER
3435 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 2850
Los Angeles, CA 90010
(213) 6742909
joaquin@nilc.org
tumlin@nilc.org
preciado@nilc.org

Freddy Rubio (ASE5403D62R)
Cooperating Attorney, ACLU OF
ALABAMA FOUNDATION
Rubio Law Firm, P.C.
438 Carr Avenue, Suite 1
Birmingham, AL 35209
(205) 4437858
frubio@rubiofirm.com

Counsel for Plaintiffs

⁺Pro hac viceadmission to be sought