Written Statement of Terry C. Landry, Jr. of the Southern Poverty Law Center before House Committee on House and Governmental Affairs State of Louisiana

> April 22, 2020 Baton Rouge, Louisiana

## Revised Emergency Plan Failso Protect Louisiana Voters

On April 15, 2020, the SPLC Action Fund submitted written testimony to the House Committee on House and Governmental Affairs in response to Secretary of State Kyle Ardoin's Emergency Election Plan for the July presidential preference primary and August municipal general elections Although it wasnot a perfect solution to the challenges posted ouisian's upcoming elections by the COVID19 pandemic the Secretary's originate mergency plan contained many of the changes Louisiana needs to make une enosters can safely cast a ballot this summer Rather than build on Secretary Ardoin's plan and active by whenswift action is needed legislators chose to deferation and sent the Secretary back to the drawing board. Committee members cited founded and unsupported concerns about voter fraud to justify their inaction and insinuated that offering voter5 Td [(C)- 1( ofut)- cited uinact2(nTJ 0.0021( c)6(it]TJ u- 1( o valid reason to challenge an absentee ballot during the CO9 pandemic. The witnessing requirement should be waived outright, but the proposed fixufficient provided that election officials are properly trained about the change.

- <sup>3</sup>⁄<sub>4</sub> The requirement that voters have their absentee ballot applications witnessed by two people must be waived. The plan should also waive witnessingerements for voters who use a marker are unable to sign.
- <sup>3</sup>⁄<sub>4</sub> The state must provide poll workers and other election officials with protective gear and the necessary supplies to clean and disinfect polling places, voting machines, etc.

The Secretary of State's revised Emergency Election Plan removes many of these crucial changes. The Secretary of State'revised plan has the following important deficiencies

- <sup>3</sup>⁄<sub>4</sub> Multiple absentee ballot excuses have been removed from th/eDGO9 emergency absentee ballot application in the new emergency plan. The originiatation listed eight acceptable excuses with the are only five-dramatically restricting who is eligible to voteby mail for fear of cotracting or spreading COVID19 by voting in person. The following groups of people are no longer perchitt vote absentee under the new plan:
  - o Voters who are concerned about exposure to or transmission of GO9/ID
  - o Voters between ages 60 and 65;
  - o Voters who live with or care for someon **bow** is at increased risk of exposure to COVID-19 because they are 60 **or** der;
  - o Voters who are caring for a child whose school or daycare has been closed;
  - o Voters advised by a governmental authority to-**qela**rantineor shelter at home due to COVID19.
- <sup>3</sup>⁄<sub>4</sub> Several of the COVID19-related excuses that were retained in the revolution been made unnecessarily restrictive:
  - o The excuse allowing those at higher risk of severe illness from COyID vote absentee now applies only those withrfous underlying medical conditions as identified by the Center for Disease Control and Prevention
  - o The "subject to stay at home, quarantine, or isolation order" excuse has been changed to allow only those under a "medically necessary quarantine or isolation order as a result of COVID9." Medically necessary is not defined.
  - o Caregivers for people und**e**rquarantine or isolation order must now identify the individual that they are caring for on their absentee ballot application by **It**ame is unclear whether or how this information will be verified, or why it is necessary for voters to supply it.

The witnessing requirement for absentee ballot requests in La. R.S. 18:1307(A) is no longer being waivedUnder this plan, people white alone but are otherwise eligible to vote absentee because there immune compromised, sick with COVHD9 symptoms or one of the other validateuses listed in the revised application have to engage in personto-person contact to get itness signatuse

- <sup>3</sup>⁄<sub>4</sub> The language in the original emergency plan that would prevent absentee ballots from being challenged duto missing witness signatures has been removed. The requirement in La. R.S. 18:1306(E)(2)(a) that absentee ballot during the signed by a witness will remain a valid reason to challenge an absentee ballot during the coronatrisus will force voters whodo not live with someonever-eighteen to break social distancing guidelines and put their health at risk in orderbation a witness signature on their ballot envelope.
- <sup>3</sup>⁄<sub>4</sub> There is no longer a deadline for parish elec**tiffic**ials to identify polling places that will need to be moved because they are in a location inappropriate for voting during a pandemic. The original version April 22 deadline has been replaced with "as soon as possible," which is inappropriately vague.
- <sup>3</sup>⁄<sub>4</sub> Parishes are no long**et**lowed to begin preparing and verifying absentee ballots two weeks before Election Dalnstead, parishes that receive more than 2000 returned absentee ballots can begin to prepare and verify ballots a mere two days before Election Day.
- <sup>3</sup>⁄<sub>4</sub> The Secretary's original plan prevented unofficial election results from being released before absented allot tabulation was completed. It also warned that election results might be delayed by as much as two weeks, allowing other public and the media to anticipte delayed returns the new plan, unofficial returns may be released before vote tabulation is complete and will be released at the discretion of hundreds of local parish election officials. This will create uneven reporting and generate confusion after polls close on Election Day.